## Q1 What factor was most important to you in deciding to attend the Nov 4. 2015 Health Law Symposium CLE program?

Answered: 23   Skipped: 2


Answer Choices	Responses	
Convenience	**8.70%**	2
Price	**8.70%**	2
Relevance to your practice area	**47.83%**	11
Speaker(s)	**8.70%**	2
Program content	**65.22%**	15
Total Respondents: 23		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Health Law section board member	11/10/2015 4:07 PM
2	Didn't attend land use	11/4/2015 3:46 PM

## Q2 How did you learn about this program?

Answered: 21   Skipped: 4

Answer Choices	Responses	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	**38.10%**	8
CLE Website	**23.81%**	5
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	**4.76%**	1
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	**19.05%**	4
Bar Bulletin Calendar	**9.52%**	2
E-mail from State Bar	**14.29%**	3
Facebook	**0.00%**	0
Twitter	**0.00%**	0
Total Respondents: 21		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Board activity	11/10/2015 4:07 PM
2	Colleague; organizer	11/10/2015 3:50 PM
3	Invitation	11/5/2015 7:13 AM

## Q3 How much notice do you need to plan to attend a CLE?

Answered: 24   Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	Responses	
2 Months	**29.17%**	7
1 Month	**54.17%**	13
2 Weeks	**12.50%**	3
1 Week	**4.17%**	1
Total		24

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	but it really depends on the current work load at any given time.	11/4/2015 4:34 PM

## Q4 I prefer the following types of programs:

Answered: 24   Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	Responses	
Live Programs	70.83%	17
Live Webcasts	33.33%	8
Video Replays at State Bar	12.50%	3
Teleseminars	20.83%	5
Self Study	8.33%	2
Total Respondents: 24		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	My office is in Mora, NM --long drive from ABQ	11/5/2015 9:15 AM

Q5 Faculty Evaluation

Answered: 25   Skipped: 0

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Did not attend	Total	Weighted Average
Don Daniel	62.50% 15	33.33% 8	4.17% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24	4.58
David Douglass	50.00% 12	41.67% 10	8.33% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24	4.42
Howard Thomas	41.67% 10	45.83% 11	12.50% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24	4.29
Juliet Keene	28.00% 7	48.00% 12	8.00% 2	16.00% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	3.88
Bryan Davis	52.17% 12	39.13% 9	8.70% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	23	4.43
Jim Miles	37.50% 9	41.67% 10	16.67% 4	4.17% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24	4.13
Deborah Armstrong	17.39% 4	43.48% 10	30.43% 7	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	4.35% 1	23	3.73
William Slease	50.00% 11	31.82% 7	18.18% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	4.32

Q6 Session Evaluation

Answered: 25   Skipped: 0

|	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Did not attend	Total	Weighted Average
Credible Allegation of Fraud: A Provider's Experience and Lessons Learned	66.67% 16	29.17% 7	4.17% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24	4.63
Civil Fraud in the Healthcare Context	28.00% 7	56.00% 14	16.00% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	4.12
Medicaid Managed Care Contracting—Hidden Traps and Leverage Points	16.00% 4	52.00% 13	16.00% 4	16.00% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	3.68
Medicaid Fraud from a Legislator's Perspective	8.70% 2	52.17% 12	21.74% 5	17.39% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	23	3.52
Ethics in Healthcare Law	43.48% 10	26.09% 6	26.09% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	23	4.18

Q7 My area of Practice is:

Answered: 23   Skipped: 2

Answer Choices	Responses	
Solo or Small Firm	39.13%	9
Corporate	30.43%	7
Government	26.09%	6
Paralegal	4.35%	1
Legal Service Provider	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 23		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Psychologist/Attorney	11/5/2015 9:36 PM
2	Also, patient advocate	11/4/2015 7:14 PM
3	provider	11/4/2015 5:34 PM

## Q8 How many years have you been in your area of practice?

Answered: 24   Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	Responses	
0-5 Years	**37.50%**	9
6-10 Years	**16.67%**	4
11-15 Years	**16.67%**	4
Over 15 Years	**29.17%**	7
Total		**24**

Q9 Which of the following best describes your age?

Answered: 24   Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	Responses	
25-34	**25.00%**	6
35-44	**20.83%**	5
45-54	**20.83%**	5
55 +	**33.33%**	8
Total		**24**

Q10 Additional Comments:

Answered: 7   Skipped: 18

#	Responses	Date
1	Please have presentation ppts in presentation packet on-site	11/10/2015 4:07 PM
2	Great program!	11/10/2015 3:25 PM
3	This was one of the best CLE's I have attended. I thought the first presentation set a strong tone regarding the need for government transparency, and overall each speaker made a thoughtful presentation. I did not think the panel discussion added much, but the ethics portion was lively and useful.	11/6/2015 3:05 PM
4	One of the best CLE's I have attended particularly Mr. Daniels presentation and Representative Armstrong's	11/5/2015 9:36 PM
5	Ethics section was designed for litigators, not HC counsel	11/5/2015 9:15 AM
6	I changed areas of practice in 2011.	11/4/2015 4:34 PM
7	This was an informative lecture and the presenters were knowledgeable.	11/4/2015 4:12 PM